

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/439,348	11/15/1999	Paul Febvre	1487.0160000	1544	
7590 12/21/2004			EXAMINER		
STERNE KESSLER GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC			SHARMA, SUJATHA R		
ATTORNEYS AT LAW SUITE 600 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	N, DC 200053934		2684		
			DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/439,348	FEBVRE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sujatha Sharma	2684				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addr	ess			
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failury - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION STATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION O	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recept within the statutory minimum of thirt od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this com ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	August 2004.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 4 53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6-9,11-15,18 and 26-29</u> is/ard	e pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6-9,11-15,18 and 26-29</u> is/ard	e rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)Ш	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	a/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	ner.					
10)	D)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr						
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO	-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		received in this National St	age			
* 0	application from the International Bure	. ,,	٠				
3	ee the attached detailed Office action for a li	scorule certified copies not i	receivea.				
A44aaba	VAN	,					
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intensions	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/26/04</u> .	5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-1: 	52)			

Art Unit: 2684

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claim 1,4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chater-Lea [US 5,822,314].

Regarding claims 1,4 Chater-Lea discloses a method for communication systems requiring timing synchronization between communication units. Chater-lea further discloses a method of controlling the transmission timing of a wireless mobile transceiver in a wireless communications system, including

- transmitting to the mobile transceiver a time slot allocation indicating a sequential plurality of time slots available to the mobile transceiver in a time-slotted channel; see col. 3, line 44- col. 4, line 47

Art Unit: 2684

- receiving a burst transmission from the mobile transceiver in one of said time slots, the burst transmission including a time slot indication indicating the one of the time slots within which the burst was transmitted; see col. 5, lines 11-35
- calculating from the timing of reception of said burst transmission a timing correction value for the mobile transceiver so as to synchronize the transmission timing of said mobile transceiver with a reference timing; and transmitting said timing correction value to the mobile transceiver; see col. 6, line 53 col. 7, line 28
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sasaki [US 3,641,274].

Regarding claims 7-9, Sasaki discloses a method a method of controlling the transmission timing of a wireless transceiver in a wireless communications system, including:

transmitting a burst transmission from the transceiver and receiving at the transceiver a timing correction value; and further controlling a subsequent transmission by the transceiver according to the timing correction value and according to a timing uncertainty value as a function of time elapsed since reception of the timing correction value. See col. 1, lines 1-75, col. 3 line 15 – col. 5, line 53, col. 7, line 43 – col. 8, line 75.

Art Unit: 2684

5. Claims 11-15, 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cooper [US 5,646,947].

Regarding claims 11-15, 26-28 Cooper discloses a wireless link signal for wireless transceiver communication comprising a data burst including in temporal sequence:

- an initial predetermined synchronization sequence, a data field carrying the data content of the burst; and a final predetermined synchronization sequence. Further the plurality of slots is sequentially separated by a guard band, wherein the length of the guard band is less than the maximum relative timing error between transmissions in adjacent time slots. See Fig.2, Col. 2, lines 13-61, col. 4, lines 24-45.
- 6. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chennakeshu [US 5,400,362].

Regarding claim 18, Chennakeshu discloses a method of transmitting data over a wireless communications link, comprising:

- detecting a timing reference signal; see col. 1, lines 15-34
- receiving a timing slot allocation over the wireless communications link; See col. 3, line 55 col. 4, line 2
- and transmitting said data according to said timing reference signal and said timing slot allocation, in a time-slotted channel having a format including periodic blocks of constant length each occupied by either one long burst or an integral number of short bursts of equal length. See col. 5, lines 25-35

Art Unit: 2684

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 3,29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chater-Lea [US 5,822,314] in view of Scott [US 6,388,997].

Regarding claims 3,29 Chater-Lea discloses all the limitations as claimed. However he does not disclose a method wherein the plurality of time slots forming a sequence block have a total length that is greater than the maximum variation in the propagation delay.

Scott, in the same field of endeavor, teaches a method wherein the plurality of time slots forming a sequence block have a total length that is greater than the maximum variation in the propagation delay. See column 7, line 52 – column 8, line 25.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teachings of Scott to Chater-Lea in order to limit number of users in a conversation and thus avoid interference issues.

8. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chater-Lea [US 5,822,314] in view of Kronz [WO 99/00931].

Regarding claim 6, Chater-Lea as treated in claim 4 does not disclose a method of selecting the time slot randomly.

Art Unit: 2684

Kronz teaches a method of selecting a time slot randomly. See page 11, lines 7-18.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teachings of Kronz to Chater-Lea in order to allow the

user to send a reservation request for transmission of data signal prior to obtaining a time slot

allocation for data transmission.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,3,4,6-9,11-15,18,26-29 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sujatha Sharma whose telephone number is 703-305-5298. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7.30am - 4.00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on 703-308-7745. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned and for all official communications is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3800.

Art Unit: 2684

Sujatha Sharma February 19, 2004

> NAY MAUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES